



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/384,456	02/02/1995	BENGT Y. PERSSON	4015-5054	. 9408
24112 7	590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER	
COATS & BI	ENNETT, PLLC		VUONG, QU	JOCHIEN B
POBOX 5				
RALEIGH, NO	C 27602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/384,456	PERSSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Quochien B Vuong	2685			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/2</u>	29/04 (resubmitted 09/02/04).				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 102 and 109-125 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 102 and 109-125 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ison Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and or complete that any objection to the	er. cepted or b)□ objected to by the led of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 cr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2685

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Applicant's response filed on 01/29/2004 (and resubmitted in the petition on 09/02/2004). Claims 102 and 109-125 are now pending in the present application. **This action is made final**.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 102, 109, 111-115, 117, 118, 120-125 are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gilhousen (US 5,101,501).

Regarding claim 102, Gilhousen discloses a method of mobile-assisted handover in a wireless network comprising communicating with a mobile station from a first base station (see column 2, lines 58-64); receiving, at a network controller, one or more data messages sent from said mobile station to said first base station that indicate relative signal strengths of at least a second base station operating on a same frequency as said first base station (column 4, lines 27-53. See also column 2, lines 62-66, which discloses that the mobile station does not need to switch frequencies when handoff of the call; therefore, the first and second base station uses the same frequency as

claimed); determining, by said network controller, to handover said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station based on said signal strengths (see column 4, lines 27-68; column 5, lines 1-11); and handing over said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station based on said determination by said network controller (see column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11).

As to claim 109, Gilhousen discloses handing over said mobile station comprises a same frequency soft handover from said first base station to said second base station (see column 3, lines 19-28).

As to claim 111, Gilhousen discloses temporarily transmitting data to said mobile station from said first base station using a first CDMA spreading code, and simultaneously transmitting the same data to said mobile station from said second base station using a second CDMA spreading code for diversity transmission to said mobile station (in this case, a same PN spreading code with different code phase offsets as disclosed at column 3, lines 50-61, read on first CDMA spreading code and second CDMA spreading code as claimed).

As to claim 112, Gilhousen discloses that the mobile station communicates with said first base station using a first CDMA spreading code before and during handover, and wherein said mobile station communicates with said second base station using a second CDMA spreading code during and after handover (in this case, a same PN spreading code with different code phase offsets as disclosed at column 3, lines 50-61, read on first CDMA spreading code and second CDMA spreading code as claimed. See also column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11), and further comprising sending a

Art Unit: 2685

control message from said first base station to said mobile station that identifies said second CDMA spreading code to support handover of said mobile station (see column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 7).

As to claim 113, see Gilhousen, column 5, line 62 to column 6, line 5.

As to claim 114, Gilhousen discloses during handover, temporarily decoding transmit signals sent from said mobile station using said first and second CDMA spreading codes at both said first and second base stations for quadruple diversity combining of said transmit signals from said mobile station (in this case, a same PN spreading code with different code phase offsets as disclosed at column 3, lines 50-65, read on first CDMA spreading code and second CDMA spreading code as claimed. See also column 5, lines 62-67).

As to claim 115, Gilhousen discloses that handing over said mobile station comprises establishing communications with said mobile station from said second base station while retaining control of said mobile station at said first base station, and transferring control of said mobile station to said second base station after said establishing communications with said mobile station from said second base station (see column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11).

As to claim 117, Gilhousen discloses that transferring control includes forming power control commands for transmission to said mobile station at said first base station prior to transferring control of said mobile station, and forming power control commands for transmission to said mobile station at said second base station after transferring

Art Unit: 2685

control of said mobile station, wherein said power control commands control a transmit power of said mobile station (see column 9, lines 19-30; column 11, lines 8-22).

As to claim 118, Gilhousen discloses that establishing communications with said mobile station from said second base station comprises: beginning transmissions from said second base station to said mobile station (see column 4, line 16 to column 5, line 11); signaling said mobile station from said first base station to begin receiving said transmissions from said second base station (see column 4, line 16 to column 5, line 11); receiving signaling from said mobile station at said first base station indicating a received signal strength of transmissions from said second base station (see column 4, line 16 to column 5, line 11); and signaling said mobile station from said first base station to begin transmitting to said second base station and to begin responding to control signaling from said second base station after determining that said mobile station is receiving transmissions from said second base station at a sufficient signal strength (see column 4, line 16 to column 5, line 11).

As to claim 120, Gilhousen discloses that sending a control message to said mobile station via said first base station that identifies a CDMA spreading code used by said second base station to transmit to said mobile station (see column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 7).

As to claim 121, Gilhousen discloses receiving one or more data messages indicating a received signal strength of said transmissions to said mobile station from said second base station (see column 4, lines 45-50).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claim 122, Gilhousen discloses retaining an existing connection for said mobile station at said first base station while establishing a new connection for said mobile station at said second base station, and ending said existing connection at said first base station after determining that said new connection at said second base station is established (see column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11).

As to claim 123, Gilhousen discloses continuing to transmit traffic and control signals to said mobile station from said first base station and continuing to receive traffic and control signals from said mobile station at said first base station (see column column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11).

As to claim 124, Gilhousen discloses continuing to send power control commands to said mobile station from said first base station to continue controlling a transmit power of said mobile station from said first base station at least until said new connection is established at said second base station (see column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11; see column 9, lines 19-30; column 11, lines 8-22).

As to claim 125, it is rejected for similar reasons as set forth in claim 118 above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2685

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 110 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen in view of Farwell (US 5,184,347).

As to claim 110, Gilhousen fails to disclose a different frequency hard handover as claimed. Farwell discloses a different frequency hard handover in a CDMA system (see column 41, line 64 to column 42, line 68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Farwell to Gilhousen, so that the call would not be interrupted when the mobile station moves to a second base station which operates on at least one different frequency (as suggested by Farwell).

6. Claims 116, 119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen in view of Hietala (US 5,150,075).

As to claim 116, Gilhousen does disclose handing over said mobile station further comprises ending communications with said mobile station from said first base

station after said establishing communications with said mobile station from said second base station as claimed (see column 4, line 54 to column 5, line 11). However, Gilhousen fails to disclose ending communications with said mobile station from said first base station by ramping down a transmit signal for said mobile station to a low power level, wherein said ramping down is controlled to reduce disturbances to any other mobile stations communicating with said first base station as claimed. Hietala discloses ramping down a transmit signal to a low power level, wherein ramping down is controlled to reduce disturbances to any other mobile stations (see column 1, lines 59-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Hietala to Gilhousen, in order to reduce disturbances to any other mobile stations (as suggested by Hietala at column 1, lines 59-65).

As to claim 119, it is rejected for similar reasons as set forth in claim 116 above.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 01/29/2004 (and resubmitted on 09/02/2004) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding independent claim 102, Applicant argues that Gilhousen (US 5,101,501) fails to teach or suggest "receiving, <u>at a network controller</u>, one or more data messages sent from said mobile station to said first base station that indicate relative signal strengths of at least a second base station operating on a same frequency as said first base station, determining, <u>by said network controller</u>, to handover said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station <u>based on said signal</u>

Art Unit: 2685

strengths; and handing over said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station based on said determination by said network controller." The examiner, however, does not agree with the Applicant. Applicant's attention is directed to Gilhousen (column 3, lines 29-44) which discloses a method of mobile assisted handoff, the mobile unit can initiate the handoff request and determine the new cell-site, but the cell-site determines when a handoff maybe appropriate and accepts the handoff based on the determination of the network controller (system controller). (See also column 4, lines 45-68) which discloses the network controller (not the mobile unit) begins the handoff process after receiving the control message, and (column 4, lines 27 to column 5, line 11) which clearly anticipates the steps of "receiving, at a network controller, one or more data messages sent from said mobile station to said first base station that indicate relative signal strengths of at least a second base station operating on a same frequency as said first base station, determining, by said network controller, to handover said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station based on said signal strengths; and handing over said mobile station from said first base station to said second base station based on said determination by said network controller."

### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 08/384,456 Page 10

Art Unit: 2685

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quochien B Vuong whose telephone number is (571)

272-7902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quochien B. Vuong Apr. 28, 2005.

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Another Ba Chrong